



## PAPILA dataset: a regional emission inventory of reactive gases for South America based on the combination of local and global information

Paula Castesana<sup>1,2,3,★</sup>, Melisa Diaz Resquin<sup>2,4,5,★</sup>, Nicolás Huneus<sup>5,6</sup>, Enrique Puliafito<sup>1,7</sup>, Sabine Darras<sup>8</sup>, Darío Gómez<sup>2,4</sup>, Claire Granier<sup>8,9</sup>, Mauricio Osses Alvarado<sup>10</sup>, Néstor Rojas<sup>11</sup>, and Laura Dawidowski<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup>Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Gerencia Química, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Investigación e Ingeniería Ambiental, Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>4</sup>Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>5</sup>Center for Climate and Resilience Research (CR)<sup>2</sup>, Santiago, Chile

<sup>6</sup>Departamento de Geofísica, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

<sup>7</sup>Mendoza Regional Faculty – National Technological University (FRM-UTN), Mendoza, Argentina

<sup>8</sup>Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, France

<sup>9</sup>CIRES, University of Colorado and NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder, United States

<sup>10</sup>Departamento Ingeniería Mecánica, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María (UTFSM), Santiago, Chile

<sup>11</sup>Air Quality Research Group, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

★These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Correspondence:** Paula Castesana (pcastesana@unsam.edu.ar)  
and Melisa Diaz Resquin (mdiazresquin@fi.uba.ar)

Received: 2 July 2021 – Discussion started: 16 July 2021

Revised: 12 December 2021 – Accepted: 13 December 2021 – Published: 27 January 2022

**Abstract.** The multidisciplinary project Prediction of Air Pollution in Latin America and the Caribbean (PAPILA) is dedicated to the development and implementation of an air quality analysis and forecasting system to assess pollution impacts on human health and economy. In this context, a comprehensive emission inventory for South America was developed on the basis of the existing data on the global dataset CAMS-GLOB-ANT v4.1 (developed by joining CEDS trends and EDGAR v4.3.2 historical data), enriching it with data derived from locally available emission inventories for Argentina, Chile, and Colombia. This work presents the results of the first joint effort of South American researchers and European colleagues to generate regional maps of emissions, together with a methodological approach to continue incorporating information into future versions of the dataset. This version of the PAPILA dataset includes CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, NMVOCs, NH<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> annual emissions from anthropogenic sources for the period 2014–2016, with a spatial resolution of 0.1°×0.1° over a domain that covers 32–120° W and 34° N–58° S. The PAPILA dataset is presented as netCDF4 files and is available in an open-access data repository under a CC-BY 4 license: <https://doi.org/10.17632/btf2mz4fhf.3> (Castesana et al., 2021). A comparative assessment of PAPILA–CAMS datasets was carried out for (i) the South American region, (ii) the countries with local data (Argentina, Colombia, and Chile), and (iii) downscaled emission maps for urban domains with different environmental and anthropogenic factors. Relevant differences were found at both country and urban levels for all the compounds analyzed. Among them, we found that when comparing PAPILA total emissions versus CAMS datasets at the national level, higher levels of NO<sub>x</sub> and considerably lower levels of the

other species were obtained for Argentina, higher levels of SO<sub>2</sub> and lower levels of CO and NO<sub>x</sub> for Colombia, and considerably higher levels of CO, NMVOCs, and SO<sub>2</sub> for Chile. These discrepancies are mainly related to the representativeness of local practices in the local emission estimates, to the improvements made in the spatial distribution of the locally estimated emissions, or to both. Both datasets were evaluated against surface concentrations of CO and NO<sub>x</sub> by using them as input data to the WRF-Chem model for one of the analyzed domains, the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires, for summer and winter of 2015. PAPILA-based modeling results had a smaller bias for CO and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations in winter while CAMS-based results for the same period tended to deliver an underestimation of these concentrations. Both inventories exhibited similar performances for CO in summer, while the PAPILA simulation outperformed CAMS for NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations. These results highlight the importance of refining global inventories with local data to obtain accurate results with high-resolution air quality models.

<https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-271-2022>